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GLOSSARY OF TERMS
These terms are defined with emphasis to the meaning implied in this study

**Best practices at cesarean delivery** – These are interventions and practices for which there is current evidence that they lead to optimal outcomes for both the mother and the baby and are therefore recommended as part of standard practice.

**Cesarean Section / Cesarean Delivery** - delivery of a fetus (that has reached the age of viability), placenta and membranes through an incision in the anterior abdominal and uterine walls.

**Cesarean section rate** – the percentage of all live births delivered by cesarean delivery.

**Common indications for cesarean delivery** – the common diagnoses that lead to emergency cesarean delivery at KNH (as used in these study). They are; previous cesarean, presumed fetal compromise, hypertensive disease in pregnancy, dystocia, mal-presentation commonly breech, and third trimester bleeding.

**Cross sectional study** - The observation of a defined population at a single point in time or time interval. Exposure and outcome are determined simultaneously.

**Decision to delivery interval** – the length of time it takes between the decision to deliver a mother via cesarean and the start of the surgery, or delivery of the baby by other means (be it vaginal, or laparotomy for ruptured uterus)
**Diagnostic criteria** – the standards set in this study that had to be met in making a diagnosis of one of the common indications (defined above) for emergency cesarean delivery (defined below). The diagnoses that met this criteria were considered optimal while those that did not meet the criteria were considered sub optimal.

**Emergency Cesarean Delivery** – delivery by cesarean that is indicated due to either: an immediate threat to either the mother or the fetus; or suspected maternal or fetal compromise though not life threatening, or circumstances demanding an immediate operative delivery to optimize outcome.

**Maternal mortality ratio** - The number of maternal deaths per 100,000 live births. This is considered more accurate measure of the risk of obstetric death than the **Maternal Mortality Rate** which is the number of maternal deaths per 100,000 women of reproductive age (15 -49) during a given period.

**Optimal diagnosis** – A diagnosis for one of the common indications (defined above) that meets the diagnostic criteria (as defined above) set for it. Optimal is the adjective that describes the diagnosis, optimality is thus the quality possessed by the diagnosis that lends it that description, e.g. equal and equality. See sub optimal diagnosis below.

**Partograph** – The graphical representation of labour progress, events and interventions, which is recommended by WHO, a blank copy of which is usually provided in all files of patients admitted to labour ward KNH.
Perinatal mortality rate- the number of stillbirths and early neonatal deaths (occurring within the first week) per 1000 total births. Early Perinatal Mortality Rate (EPMR) is the number of still births and neonatal deaths occurring within the first 24 hours per 1000 live births.

Prophylactic antibiotics - antibiotics prescribed to be given pre- or intra-operatively with the aim of preventing post operative (operation site) infection. Separate from the one usually prescribed and given post operatively.

Senior consultation – the opinion of a senior registrar or consultant in obstetrics, which was sought to support the decision to deliver a patient by emergency cesarean section.

Sub optimal diagnosis - diagnosis for one of the common indications (see above) that does not meet the set diagnostic criteria.
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ABSTRACT

This study evaluated the diagnosis of common indications for emergency cesarean delivery at Kenyatta National Hospital (KNH). It focused on optimality of the diagnoses and evaluated some of the practices at cesarean delivery that are associated with favourable outcomes.

Design: Cross sectional descriptive study

Study site: The study was conducted at KNH Department of Obstetrics.

Methods: Case note for all deliveries in KNH between 18th January and 23rd February 2009 both dates inclusive were sought and perused daily. Eligible consecutive pregnant women, for whom a decision to undergo emergency cesarean delivery for the following diagnoses; Prior uterine scar, presumed fetal compromise, hypertensive disease in pregnancy, breech presentation, dystocia and third trimester bleeding, were recruited to the study. These had been determined to be the six leading indications for emergency CD in the unit. A questionnaire was filled by obtaining details from their case notes, nursing care notes and treatment sheets.

Optimality of diagnosis, Decision to Delivery Interval, Senior consultation, use of prophylactic antibiotics and of regional anaesthesia was determined and described. Data was analyzed using SPSS version 11, descriptive statistics are presented. Chi square tests were used to determine significance.

Results: One thousand and eighty women were delivered during the study duration, 409 via cesarean (CSR-37.9%). 306 of 327 eligible women were recruited. 51% had a sub optimal diagnosis with Prior uterine scar and presumed fetal compromise being the main contributors (72%). Most (88%) of the time there was no senior consultation. Only 10% were delivered within an hour of decision. Most (97%) were not given prophylactic antibiotics and 57% were offered spinal anaesthesia. Maternal and fetal outcomes were worse in those with an optimal diagnosis. The Still birth rate (SBR) was 12.5; Early Perinatal Mortality Rate (EPMR) was 58.8, Neonatal Death Rate (NDR) of 12.2 per 1000
live births. One participant died during the study duration due to haemorrhage giving a case fatality rate of 0.33%.

**Conclusions:** The CSR was high; with the common indications we sought contributing 68% of all the cesarean deliveries (Emergency and Elective cases) and 85% of the emergency sections. Prior uterine scar and presumed fetal compromise were the largest contributors to suboptimal diagnosis. Rarely were the consultants’ opinions sought prior to decision and few of the patients were delivered within an hour of decision. Preoperative antibiotic prophylaxis was hardly (3%) ever prescribed, with over half of the women being offered spinal anaesthesia. Maternal and fetal outcomes were worse amongst those found to have an optimal diagnosis.

**Recommendations:** Strategies to increase optimal diagnosis for emergency cesarean deliveries be formulated. Measures to: promote consultation prior to decision, shorten the decision to delivery interval, ensure provision of preoperative antibiotic prophylaxis, and promote the use of regional anaesthesia be instituted, for women undergoing emergency cesarean section. A more comprehensive study should be done in the future to check the trends and assess other parameters like the sepsis rate and other long term complications.